

SWG Grant Proposal Ranking Worksheet

Proposal Number: _____
 Applicant: _____

Reviewer Name: _____

Percent of non-federal match (4 points possible)

	50%	51-60%	61-74%	≥ 75%
score	1	2	3	4

Number of partners involved in project (4 points possible)

	0	1	2	3-5	≥ 6
score	0	1	2	3	4

Number of SGCN directly involved (5 points possible)

	1	2-3	4-6	7-12	≥ 13
score	1	2	3	4	5

Communication/Coordination with IDFG Regional Nongame Biologist

	no	yes
score	0	3

Is the proposal adequately tied to CWCS issues and recommended actions (6 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	0	3	6

Is the proposal adequately tied to CWCS priority habitats and species (6 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	0	3	6

Contribution to species and/or habitat conservation at the ecological section (3 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	1	2	3

Contribution to species and/or habitat conservation range wide (3 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	1	2	3

Likelihood of success (2 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	0	1	2

Usefulness of anticipated results (3 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	1	2	3

Does this project duplicate earlier work (2 points possible)

	yes	to some extent	no
score	0	1	2

Cost effectiveness (3 points possible)

	low	moderate	high
score	1	2	3